IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UN	ITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
	Plaintiff,) 8:13CR164)
	vs.))
ΗE	NRY RAY DENNEY,))
	Defendant.))
A.	Order For Detention After conducting a detention hearing p Reform Act on June 5, 2013, the Court pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).	oursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail orders the above-named defendant detained
B.	The Court orders the defendant's deten X By a preponderance of the ex- conditions will reasonably assure X By clear and convincing evidence	
C.	which was contained in the Pretrial Serval X (1) Nature and circumstances of the crime: a domest the country in violation of the country in v	stic assault by a habitual offender in Indian 18 U.S.C. § 117 carries a maximum sentence ment. e of violence.
	(a) General Factors: The defenda may affect where The defendant the defendant ties. X Past conduct X The defendant ties.	nt appears to have a mental condition which nether the defendant will appear. In that no family ties in the area. In that no steady employment. In that no substantial financial resources. In that no substantial financial resources. In the second ties not a long time resident of the community. In the defendant: violation of parole. In that a history relating to drug abuse. In that a history relating to alcohol abuse. In that a significant prior criminal record. In that a prior record of failure to appear at

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

			pervised Release
		(c) Other Facto	rs:
			e defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to ortation.
			e defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to
			ortation if convicted.
			Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement CE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal.
		Òth	er:
X	(4)		seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's
			ows: the nature of the charges in the Indictment, the
			al history, and two outstanding warrants for failure to
V	(E)	appear.	
<u>X</u>	(5)		
			the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. §
			Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:
	X		indition or combination of conditions will reasonably
			appearance of the defendant as required and the safety
			person and the community because the Court finds that
		the crime in	
			A crime of violence; or
			An offense for which the maximum penalty is life
			imprisonment or death; or
		(3)	A controlled substance violation which has a maximum
			penalty of 10 years or more; or
		(4)	A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two
			or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3)
			above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for
			one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above
			which is less than five years old and which was
		(b) That no car	committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.
			ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably
			appearance of the defendant as required and the safety nunity because the Court finds that there is probable
		cause to be	
			That the defendant has committed a controlled
		(1)	substance violation which has a maximum penalty of
		(2)	10 years or more. That the defendant has committed an offense under 18
		(2)	U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and
			in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of
			violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment
			if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous
			weapon or device).
			1

- D. Additional Directives
 Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

 1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
 - The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: June 5, 2013. BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge